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ABSTRACT:
Travelers’ works provide us with very wealthy data including maps, engravings, drawings, inscriptions, accounts, stories etc. These data answer many questions when studying historical buildings; esp. for those which destroyed completely or partially. In this context this paper aims to identify the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque (the so-called Küçük Cami). It is located in the south-eastern part of the Roman Agora of the old City of Athens. This monument is almost completely absent in any historical-archaeological map of Athens and in the published studies of the Ottoman monuments of Athens as well. Moreover the surviving monuments of Ottoman architecture are in general little known and hardly studied. So this article also aims to clarify, as far as possible, the history and architecture of an Athenian mosque, the Hadji ‘Ali, through the surviving traces of the building and with the help of the data provided by many accounts of travelers to Athens.

INTRODUCTION:
Travelers’ works include texts and images handed; represent one of the most important resources for many sciences in general and for historical and archaeological studies in particular. Travelers here include others –who did the same process and documented their seeing and impressions through their journeys– as pilgrims, merchants, geographers, sailors, diplomats, and military campaign’s members …

Travelers’ accounts of the cities and their buildings, matter of concern, evolved from mere citing their admiration or simple description in general in 11th – 16th centuries to detailed accounts including analysis and comparative studies esp. from the 17th century onwards. The latter has also the images handed which include graphic representations of space and buildings as topographical maps, panoramic views of cities and cartographic depictions of different subjects; which represent a precious source in archaeological and history of art studies.

As a case study, Travelers’ works are very helpful in studying the ottoman monuments of Athens. There kept engravings for the monuments which disappeared like
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the Kifissia Mosque (Before 1667) by Hansen in 1835 and Stilling in 1853, the Parthenon Mosque (after 1687) and the Yeni Mosque (1668-1670) by Dupré in 1809 (fig. 4). Also depicted those destructed somewhat like the Mehmed Fakhri Medrese (1720-21) by Du Moncel in 1843.

Moreover, travelers’ works sometimes provide us with detailed accounts of some monuments as Evliyâ Çelebi’s description of the Acropolis’ Mosque, and sometimes kept those inscriptions as Evliyâ did when mentioned the Hüseyin Efendi tekke among the ottoman monuments of Athens.

In this context, this paper aims to identify the so called Küçük Cami in Athens and to clarify its historical background and its architectural features as well.

CONTEXT:

The Küçük Cami is located near the archaeological site of the Roman Agora in the centre of the old city of Athens (fig. 1-2). The mosque acquired its current name of Küçük Cami (Small Mosque) during the last years of the Ottoman Athens or just after the liberation of Athens in 1821, because of its small dimensions in comparison to the other two preserved mosques nearby.

The first reference to a mosque named Small Mosque (Gr. Μικρός Τζμι) appears in a document roughly dating from 1824. In this document, preserved in the Archive of the Philomousos Society of Athens (no. 8804), it is mentioned that the Small Mosque near the Roman Agora, among other Ottoman buildings and sites, should be reused according to the Greek governmental new needs. So, according to this document, it was suggested that the Small Mosque -and the nearby hamam (hot bath)- should be reused as a printing establishment (fig. 1-2).

About forty years later, however, Mommsen mentioned that the Small Mosque was almost destroyed. According to Kambournoglou the mosque still existed to a degree until 1920. In 1929 the art historian Xyngopoulos mentioned the Small Mosque among the ruined Ottoman monuments of Athens (fig. 1). In 1954 the Turkish scholar Eyice wrote that no remains of the so-called Small Mosque existed anymore.

So, the Small Mosque -as well as other Ottoman monuments including the nearby hamam and tekke (dervish convent) - disappeared completely between 1920 and 1929. In 2003-2004, the name of the Küçük Cami appeared again in the context of the works of reorganizing the layout of the Mousaiou Square which were carried out by the First Ephoreia of Prehistoric and Classic Antiquities of Athens. These works aimed to preserve the rediscovered foundations of the Small Mosque and make them visible.
As for the contemporary identification of the Küçük Cami with a mosque of the gypsies of Ottoman Athens\textsuperscript{14}, we could find no historical source to support this hypothesis.

THE TRAVELERS’ WORKS IDENTIFYING THE KÜÇÜK CAMI:

The founder’s name, the date of construction, and the original form of the Küçük Cami are still questionable. By reading the travelers' works (texts and photos) this paper will try to answer some of these queries concerning the ruined mosque.

Let’s start with the Seyahatnâme of Evliyâ Çelebi who visited Athens in the summer of 1068 (1668).\textsuperscript{15} After his exceptionally detailed description of the Acropolis' Mosque (fig. 3), he noted:

“... Within the space between the two gates –of the castle– there are big guns, and a small mosque, in which the soldiers who protect these gates say their prayers. At a distance of a hundred steps below the gate there is the great dervish convent (Asitâne) of Hüseyin Efendi, a follower of the Way of the Halvetiye Order. Above the gate (of the tekke) its date is recorded [by this chronogram]: “In this manner (the poet) Mahdumi said its date may this generous man (Hüseyin Efendi) be accepted by God. 1023” the year 1024 = 1615.
[The numerical value of the chronogram gives the Hidra date of 1028 = 1619 A.D.]

“On the northern and north-eastern side of the castle there is a beautiful city, which gives the impression of the garden of paradise, Athens the city of Irem\textsuperscript{16} [i.e. the city of the incomparable buildings]. There are three Muslim neighbourhoods (mahalle). In addition to the aforementioned mosque of the castle, there are only three other Friday-mosques, and furthermore four shrines. In the first place\textsuperscript{17} is—concerning the mosques—[...\textsuperscript{18}] Bey Mosque, which is a light-filled mosque, built of stone, covered with domes, well-built and good-looking, then Hadji ‘Ali Mosque, and the Eski (Old) Mosque. The three mosques are built of stone, covered with domes and covered with ceramic tile roofs. There is no building in Athens that is covered with lead.”\textsuperscript{19}

“There are also seven small-mosques (mesjid) in the neighbourhoods, and one medrese (high school) for the learned, three primary schools (mektep) for children, two tekkes for dervishes and three baths (hamam): first of all\textsuperscript{20} the bath of ... Bey, then the bath of Hadji Ali and the Âbid Efendi Bath. They are baths with a pleasant air.”
Biris\textsuperscript{21}, along with Travlos\textsuperscript{22}, concluded in the light of the above quoted Evliyâ’s text, that the Küçük Cami corresponds to the Bey Mosque.\textsuperscript{23} They thought that Evliyâ mentioned the mosques in the order he saw them, according to his movement after he came down from the castle. However, it is noticed that the description of the three Friday mosques by Evliyâ is cut off from the itinerary of the other monuments of Athens, which ended at the Tekke of Hüseyin Efendi. The description of the mosques, as well as the description of the houses, came into the context of a brief commentary on the architectural sights of Athens. The text of Evliyâ itself proves this view clearly, since he mentioned the Eski (old) Mosque as the last Friday mosque—the Old Mosque being the nearest one to the Küçük Cami (fig. 1). We may thus conclude that Evliyâ followed no definite rule concerning the order he used to mention the mosques.

Furthermore, the Bey Mosque was definitely described by Evliyâ as a “light-filled mosque, built of stone, and covered with domes, a solid and prosperous building”,\textsuperscript{24} such an image it is difficult to match with the Küçük Cami, because of the small dimensions of the latter. Moreover, if the Küçük Cami was a “luminous mosque, covered with domes” as Evliyâ described the Bey Mosque, it would not have escaped the interest of the other travelers who visited Athens.

So if the Küçük Cami was one of the mosques mentioned by Evliyâ, it must correspond to the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque, since it is the only one which remained unidentified among the three Friday mosques of the city of Athens mentioned by Evliyâ.

On the other hand, the only mosque that corresponds to the Bey Mosque, as the third mosque of the city of Athens according to Evliyâ’s description, is the so-called Mosque of the Column\textsuperscript{25} which was located in Hadrian Street (fig. 1 [4]).

Evliyâ noted that there was a bath, among the three Baths of Athens, of the same founder Hadji ‘Ali. Biris,\textsuperscript{26} and Travlos,\textsuperscript{27} suggested that the Bath which once stood within the Roman Agora\textsuperscript{28} (fig. 1 [D]) should be Hadji ‘Ali’s bath. This bath is mentioned by Mommsen and was destroyed in 1890.\textsuperscript{29} An Ottoman map from the Prime Minister’s Ottoman Archive in Istanbul, dated 1826-27 (fig. 2),\textsuperscript{30} depicts a bath situated southwest of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque (Küçük Cami) towards the castle (fig. 2); this evidence suggests that the hamam in question is in fact the Bath of Hadji ‘Ali mentioned by Evliyâ (fig.1 [D]). The other two baths noted by Evliyâ are identified; one was the Bath of the Bey near the mosque of the same founder (Mosque of the Column) (fig. 1 [B]), whilst the second one is still surviving today and bearing the same name mentioned by Evliyâ: Ābid Efendi Hamam. So the Bath of the Roman Agora suggests that there was a fourth Ottoman bath in Athens, which may have been erected after the visit of Evliyâ. It is therefore not surprising that Wheler noted that Athens had “three or four public bathes”.\textsuperscript{31}
Few years after Evliya Çelebi’ visit (1668), the European travelers included the Küçük Cami in their engravings and plans. The 1670 map of Athens which was drawn by the French Capuchin and reproduced by Guillet (1675) includes a mosque under the number 32, located almost in the site of the Küçük Cami with the indication “Temple de Venus Uranie, Mosquée”. Wheler examined the site of the mosque searching for any traces of the ancient Temple of Venus Uranie and concluded that there was no relation between the mosque and the mentioned temple;

“We could by no means tell, why the French author of Old and New Athens, should dream, that this was the Temple of Vulcan: For we found nothing ancient to show it, nor any Tradition to confirm it, more than the Product of his Fancy: no more could we at the Mosque, some distance East from it, see any reason to make us call it The Temple of Venus Urania; although such a Temple might probably have been at the End of the Town…”

In 1675-76, Spon and Wheler visited Athens. They noted that Athens had five mosques: four in the city and the fifth in the Acropolis castle. Hence there was a new mosque –a fourth mosque of the lower city of Athens- constructed between the journey of Evliya Çelebi and the voyage of Spon to Athens i.e., the years 1668-1675. This fourth mosque was according to Spon the New Mosque of Beynas (Mosquée neuve de Beynas), which is included in Spon's map of Athens (fig. 5), under the number 16. There are no traces of this New Mosque anymore, but it was fairly correctly drawn by Dupré in 1809 (fig. 4) and is known in the Greek literature as the Yeni Mosque or Mosque of Rodakiou (New Mosque, fig. 1 [5]).

The map of Spon was reproduced and sometimes imitated by other travelers like Wheler (1682), and Coronelli (1686-87).

The four mosques of the city of Athens are clearly presented in the 1687 engravings of the engineer captain Verneda which were published by Fanelli (fig. 7), Laborde (fig. 8) and Omont (figs. 6, 9). These engravings show four Ottoman mosques in Athens which during the brief interval of the Venetian domination by Morosini (1687-1688) were used as Roman Catholic churches or as stores. Three maps of Verneda render the mosques in a three-dimensional symbolic form (figs. 7-9), one of them shows the Acropolis and the four mosques of the city of Athens with the important sights around them (fig. 7). Verneda wrote that the two buildings (fig. 7 “N”) in this drawing were Turkish mosques, and that the other two buildings were mosques but were used for other function; one served as a store (fig. 7 “U”) and the second as a Protestant temple (fig. 7 “Y”). The Küçük Cami can be distinguished quite easily in this engraving (fig. 7 “N” towards the Acropolis).
The other two maps of Verneda offer two perspective plans of Athens showing the mosques within their neighborhoods (figs. 8-9). The Küçük Cami was identified according to these maps as a mosque with the notice that it functioned at that time as a store. The last plan is a simple one (fig. 6) and identified the Küçük Cami as a store as well.\footnote{44}

To summarize, the Küçük Cami was erected before 1668 when Evliya Çelebi visited Athens. The building corresponds only to the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque which he mentioned as one among the three Friday-mosques of the Lower City.

**THE PLAN OF THE HADJI ‘ALI (figs. 10-11)**

The Hadji ‘Ali Mosque owes its present aspect to the 2003-2004 restoration works of the remains of its foundations (figs. 10-15), as mentioned above.

In the present day one can see the foundations of the layout of the mosque's walls; their height varies from 0.10 to 0.90 m; the plan shows the qibla wall with the semi-circular recess of the mihrab in its middle; furthermore the windows flanking the mihrab (dimensions: 1.05 meter wide, roughly 0.75 meter deep) raise above the level of the mosque's floor at a height of 0.77 meter. In the western corner of the prayer hall, as is usual in the Ottoman mosques, survive the foundations of the base of the minaret with the first two steps of its staircase (figs. 10, 15).

In the light of these remains, the Small Mosque is a rather simple one; it consists in an almost square prayer hall measuring 8.59 by 8.68 meters, including the walls. The internal area measures roughly 50 m², and the thickness of its walls is roughly 0.70 meter except the qibla wall which is 0.78 meter thick, while the part which corresponds to the mihrab protrusion is about 1 meter thick.

Parts of the mosque's floor, towards the qibla wall, were restored in the context of the 2004 restoration works. It was paved with irregular stone tiles with a height of almost 0.15 meter, set on a strong and thick layer of mortar with a height of almost 0.13 meter.

There are no architectural traces as far as it concerns the type of roof of the mosque evidences of the type of roofing. While the nearly square plan along with the rather small dimensions of the mosque refers to a domed-square prayer hall—a typical style of the Ottoman religious architecture of Greece in general and mosques in particular—, the 1826-27 Ottoman map of Athens, mentioned above, suggests a hipped (pyramidal) roof (fig. 2) for the mosque, like the houses of Athens. The engraving map of the engineer captain Verneda (1687) also shows a hipped roof on the Mosque (fig. 8).
About the three mosques of the (lower) city of Athens Evliyâ noted: “These three are built of stone, with domes and covered with ceramic roof tiles. In this town are no lead-covered buildings at all.” If this description is correct it may explain why that hipped roof was covering a kind of an inset wooden dome like those often used in smaller mosques in Bosnia. Or the old mosque was initially covered with a dome, which later (after an earthquake?) was replaced by a pyramidal roof as was often done in similar situations.

The above mentioned Ottoman map of Athens (1826-27) shows the plan of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque including the minaret; it includes the minaret, but the portico in front of the prayer hall, which is a common feature of Ottoman mosques, is missing. The credibility of this map is supported by the fact that no remains of a portico have survived.

In this context the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque of Athens represents an important paradigm of the Ottoman mosques not only in Athens but in the whole Greece, as a rare case of a Friday-mosque without a portico in front of the prayer hall. This is unique in Athens as well as for the few examples of Greek mosques covered with a hipped roof.

**THE MINARET:**

The minaret of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque (Küçük Cami) was a semi-independent one. It was engaged in the western wall of the prayer-hall, where the minaret's door was. Traces of its spiral stone staircase around a column of marble have survived.

While there are no faithful engravings of the old aspect of the Mosque, providentially its minaret has been drawn in many engravings. In a copper engraving dated 1674, in the plans of Wheeler42 (1682) and in the perspective plans of Athens drawn by the engineer Verneda in 1687 (figs. 6-9) there are representations of the minaret.

Two important colored engravings (gravures) obviously represent the minaret of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque. The first one is the portrait of an Athenian lady by Dupré in 1809.46 In the architectural background, considering that the building behind the lady represents the Gate of the Roman Agora, the upper section of the minaret shown on the right must represent the minaret of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque (fig. 16).

The second engraving, by Hobhouse–(1809-10), is an exceptional one depicting the city of Athens taken from the foot of the Lykavittos (Lycabettus) hill.47 The six minarets of the mosques existing in Athens at that time (1809-10) are shown (fig. 17, with the same numbers as in fig. 1); the minaret of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque is number three.

According to these engravings, the minaret of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque was a typical Ottoman minaret; it has a cylindrical shaft with one balcony (serefe) supported by
stalactite corbels, and topped with a conical (pencil point) hood covered with lead sheets bearing a crescent on its top (figs. 16-17).

CONCLUSION:

This paper dealt with the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque (Küçük Cami) of Athens historically and architecturally as well, basically through examining the texts and the engravings of the travelers, and concluded that:

The so-called Küçük Cami was erected before 1668, when Evliyâ Çelebi visited Athens. It corresponds only to the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque, which is mentioned by Evliyâ as one of the three Friday-mosques of the Lower City of Athens, and not to the Bey Mosque -also mentioned by Evliyâ in 1668- suggested by previous studies.

The bath of Hadji ‘Ali, was l south-west of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque and is not the one which was located within the Roman agora of Athens as suggested by previous studies. Identifying the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque as the gypsies’ mosque of Ottoman Athens, is incorrect.

The Hadji ‘Ali Mosque of Athens was preserved completely until 1824, and partially until 1920. It disappeared between 1920 and 1929. In the years 2003-04 its foundations reappeared again during the works of restoration of the neighborhood of the mosque.

- The Hadji ‘Ali Mosque was a square prayer hall, with common dimensions, covered with a hipped (pyramidal) roof, a rare type of the Ottoman mosques of Athens, and one of few examples in Greece.

The Hadji ‘Ali Mosque might not have had a portico in front of the prayer hall, a rare feature in Ottoman mosques architecture.

The minaret of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque was a typical Ottoman one with a cylindrical shaft with one balcony supported by stalactite corbels, and topped with a conical hood covered with lead sheets bearing a crescent on its top.

We should emphasize that the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque needs more works of cleaning of ungainly graffiti and writings that distort the monument, and has to find a place for it on the historical-archaeological map of Athens as a valuable trace of a lost past.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key of numbers</th>
<th>Key of colors &amp; letters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Acropolis' Mosque (d. 15th c.)</td>
<td>1  Survived monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Acropolis' Mosque (ottoman structure, end of the 17th c.)</td>
<td>1a Monument survived partially or as foundations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eski Mosque (an old byzantine church)</td>
<td>2 Ruined monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fethiye Mosque (or Staropezar Mosque, d. end of the 17th c.)</td>
<td>2a Ottoman reuse of an ancient monument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>küçük Cami or Haj Ali Mosque (before 1667)</td>
<td>3 Haj 'Ali Bath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Mosque of the column or Ulu Bey Mosque (before 1667)</td>
<td>4 Ulu Bey Bath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeni Cami or New Mosque of Beynas (1667-1675)</td>
<td>5 'Ebeid Efendi Bath Unknown Bath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softa Mosque or Upper Fountain Mosque (18th c.)</td>
<td>6 Medrese (high School)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tzisdaraki Mosque or Lower Fountain Mosque (1763-64)</td>
<td>7 Tower of the Winds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (1) Map of Athens of 1821 shows the ottoman mosques and some sights of Athens (Above), Detail of the map (Down), by A. Ameen (After Mommsen, Athenae Christianae)
Fig. (2) Map of Athens of 1826-27, shows the ottoman mosques and some sights of Athens (Above Right), Details of the map's scale and data (Above Left); Detail of the castle and the center of the city (Down), A copy of a map from Prime Ministry Ottoman Archive in Istanbul.
Fig. (3) Çelebi, Seyahatname, Book 8, 253, left sheet (Left) and detail of the selected text (Right). Photo by A. Ameen

Fig. (4) A mosque, (Dupré, Voyage à Athènes, pl. XXIX)

Fig. (5) Map of Athens in 1675-1676, (Spon, Voyage d’Italie)

Fig. (6) Map of Athens (detail), by the engineer captain Verneda in 1687 (Omont, Athènes, 17, pl. XLV)

Fig. (7) Map of Athens showing the four Mosques of the city of Athens, by the engineer captain Verneda in 1687, (Fanelli, Atene Attica, 317)
Fig. (8) Map of Athens (a detail, with the entire map), by the engineer captain Verneda in 1687 (Laborde, Athènes, vol. II 172-173)

Fig. (9) Map of Athens (a detail, with the entire map), by the engineer captain Verneda in 1687 (Omont, Athènes, 17, pl. XXXV)
Fig. (10) Plan of the Hadji 'Ali Mosque of Athens, the present state (A. Ameen)

Fig. (11) Plan of the Hadji 'Ali Mosque of Athens, A presumptive reconstruction with the addition of the prayer hall with the hipped roof, windows of the non qibla walls (A. Ameen)

Fig. (12) The Hadji 'Ali Mosque of Athens, a general view (A. Ameen)

Fig. (13) The Hadji 'Ali Mosque of Athens, from the entrance towards the mihrab (A. Ameen)

Fig. (14) The Hadji 'Ali Mosque of Athens, from the western corner where the base of the minaret (A. Ameen)

Fig. (15) The Hadji 'Ali Mosque of Athens, from the eastern corner where the qibla wall (A. Ameen)
Fig. (16) A portrait of an Athenian lady (1809) showing the minaret of the Hadji ‘Ali Mosque of Athens (Right), with detail (Left), (Dupré, *Voyage à Athènes*, pl. XXVI)

Fig. (17) A view of the city of Athens (1809-1810) the entire view (Above Right), and a detail showing the minarets of the ottoman mosques (the numbers are the same of the fig. 1)
NOTES:


3. Dupré (Louis), Voyage à Athènes et à Constantinople, Fascade de l'édition originale avec une introd. de E.J. Finopoulos et Christine Peltry, Athènes, Porto Leon, 1993, pl. XXIX. This drawing was wrongly identified by Kipouropoulos as the Fethiye Mosque, see: Kipouropoulos (Dimitris G.), “H EN AΘΗΝΑΣ ΤΟΥΡΚΟΚΡΑΤΙΑ (1456-1821)”, Megála Elliniki Ergokoliapóttéria, toμ. Β’, Athina, Ekdóistikoi tímptes tis A. E. V. E. Ε. Μ. Μικρής &Σ, 1927, pp. 105-122.


6. Evliya # 1, folio 253b.


8. The Philomousos Society of Athens was founded on the 1st of September 1813. Its constitution consisted of 10 articles and it was signed by 101 founding members. Half of them were Greeks (52 out of 101). The Society played a considerable role in the protection of antiquities. See: Kipouropoulos (Dimitris G.), “Φιλόμουσος-Επαρχία”, Megála Elliniki Ergokoliapóttéria, toμ. ΚΑ’, Αθήνα, ΠΥΡΟΣ, 1928-1929, p. 20; Armaoutoglou (Ilías), “‘όδα άδην έκεννοι και κλώς τού έδενος”. The Philomousos Society of Athens and Antiquities”, in Björn (Forsén), Salmeri (Giovanni) eds., The Province Strikes Back - Imperial Dynamics in the Eastern Mediterranean, Helsinki, Suomen Ateenan-Instituutin Säätiö, 2008, p. 201-214.


15. Evliya # 1, folio 253b.

Here the word (Ὑα) in Evliya’s autograph means firstly and is not a family name as translated in Greek literature as Ola or Olou. In the autograph the word “firstly” (Ὑα) is followed by a blank then by “... Bey Mosque,” since Evliya did not remember the name anymore and hoped to fill it in later. He did this often. In the case of the baths the autograph shows the same lacunas; the word “Bey bath” is preceded with the same word “firstly” (Ὑα), which is translated too as a name in Greek literature. So I will refer to this mosque along with this bath as the Bey Mosque and the Bey Bath as opposed to the wrong translations “Ulou Bey Mosque” and “Ulou Bey Bath” which we find in Greek literature. I am greatly thankful to Prof. Machiel Kiel for his corrections of my translation of Evliya’s text and for providing me with the related text of the latest publication of Evliya’s work, published in 2003 and mentioned here as [Evliya # 3], See: Evliya # 1, 253 ; Evliya # 2: Evliya Celebi, Seyahatnamesi, Istanbul, Orhanye Matbaasi, 1928, vol. 8, 259 ; Evliya # 3: Evliya Celebi (bin Dervis Memmed Zilli): Evliya Celebi Seyahatnamesi VIII. Kitab, [Topkapı Sarayı Kütabhanesi Bağdat 308 Numara Yazzmann Transkripsiyonu – Dizini], Edited by Seyit Ali Kahraman, Yücel Dağlı, Robert Dankoff, Istanbul, Yapı Kredi Yayınları, 2003, p. 117 ; M İr (Koçova H), Ta Atıka του Εβλιά Ταξιαπή: αι Αθηνα και τα περιχώρα των κατά του 17ου αι., Αθήνα, χ.ό, 1959, p. 43-44, footnote 63 ; Τραγουλοί (Ιωάννης Ν.), Πολιοδομική εξέλιξη των Αθηνών: από του προϊστορικών χρόνων μέχρι των αρχών του 19ου αιώνα, η έκδοση, Αθήνα, Κατσού, 2005, p. 184.

A void (empty space) in the autograph.

Here is the first translation of this part of Evliya’s work in English. See : Evliya # 1.p 253 b ; in the 1926 printed edition of Seyahatname the phrase “and the Eski Mosque”, in the 4th line from below, is missing, see Evliya # 2, p. 259. The Greek translations followed the last text of 1928, see: Μ πρ, Ta Atıka του Εβλιά Ταξιαπή, p. 44 ; Ταξιαπή (Εβλιά), Ταξιαπή σεν Ελλάδα, Έρωνα- Αγοραστήρικη απόδοση: Νίκος Χελαδάκης, Αθήνα, Εκδ., 1991, p. 179.

See footnote no. 17.

M πρ, Ta Atıka του Εβλιά Ταξιαπή, p. 43-44, footnote no. 63.

Τραγουλοί, Πολιοδομική εξέλιξη των Αθηνών, p. 184.

It is mentioned in Greek literature as Ulou (or Ola) Bey Mosque, see footnote no. 12.

Travlos wrongly attributed this description to the Fethiye Mosque, which at that time was not erected yet. See: Τραγουλοί, Πολιοδομική εξέλιξη των Αθηνών, p. 184.

It was destroyed in the second half of the 19th century, about this mosque see: Mommsen, Athenae Christianae, p. 73-74, no. 77 ; Καμποτόργολο, Α Παλαιά Αθήνα, p. 384 ; Καμποτόργολο, Ιστορία των Αθηνών: Τουρκοκρατία, vol. III, p. 124 ; Σαρκοπόλος, “Τα Βυζαντινά και Τούρκικα νεαρά”, p. 121.

M πρ, Ta Atıka του Εβλιά Ταξιαπή, p. 43-44, footnote no. 63.

Τραγουλοί, Πολιοδομική εξέλιξη των Αθηνών, p. 184.

Mommsen, Athenae Christianae, p. 83, no 95 ; Τραγουλοί, Πολιοδομική εξέλιξη των Αθηνών, p. 184.

ΠΑΕ (Πρακτικά της εν Αθήνας Αρχαιολογικής Εταιρείας του Έτους 1890), ΑΘΗΝΕΣ, έκ του ταχυγραφιού των Αθηνών Πίερ, 1893.12 ; Τραγουλοί, Πολιοδομική εξέλιξη των Αθηνών, p. 184.
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